

NEPA Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form

Federal Aid Project Number HSIP_000S(528)	NEPA Start Da 7-23-2020	ate	Intent of Submitt	tal √ Final	Re-Evaluate
Agency City of Federal Way	Project 47th A	t Title Ave SW / SW Dash Poi	nt Rd (SR 509) C	ompact Rou	ndabout
County King					
Beginning terminus: SRMP 9.08		Township(s): 21N			
Ending terminus: N/A		Range(s): 3E			_
Miles: 0.0000		Section(s): 11			
Part 1 -	Project Des	scription (Attach	Vicinity Map)		
The City of Federal Way is planning to install a compact roundabout at the intersection of SW Dash Point and 47th Ave SE. The project will stay within the existing right of way, with retaining walls at the southeast and southwest corner of the intersection. The proposed roundabout has an inscribed circle diameter of 85°. The diameter of the center island is 60° including the truck apron (and 47° without). There are no known geometric constraints for a potential roundabout, as the site is relatively flat, and the roundabout is relatively compact within the existing right-of-way.					
Р	art 2 - Categ	gorical Exclusion	& STIP		
 Identify one CE from 23 CFR 771.117 (CI Per 23 CFR Part 452(I) identify the subsetent Attach a copy of the STIP page to the CE 	equent project p	hase identified on the	<u> </u>	✓ Construct	tion
Bigitally signed by EJ Wolsh, PE	NEPA A	pproval Signature	es		
Ele, width @chyoffederalway.com, O "City of Federal Way, OU "Public Works Director, CN = L Walsh, PE" Date: 2020.8.24 09.40.36-07-00"	8/24/2020				
Local Agency Approving Authority	Date	Local Progra	ms Environmenta	I Engineer	Date
Regional Local Programs Engineer	Date	Federal High	way Administratio	n	Date
Completed By (Print Official's Name)	Telephone (inc	clude area code)	E-mail A	ddress	

		Part 3 - Permits, Approva	ls & F	Right o	of Way (ROW)
Yes	No	Permit or Approval	Yes	No	Permit or Approval
	\checkmark	Corps of Engineers Sec. 10 Sec. 404		\checkmark	Water Quality Certification - Section 401
		Nationwide Type			Issued By
		Individual Permit No		\checkmark	Tribal Permit(s) (if any)
	\checkmark	Coastal Zone Management Certification		\checkmark	Other Permits (List)
	\checkmark	Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Permit		\checkmark	Is permanent ROW acquisition needed? If yes,
	\checkmark	Forest Practices Act Permit			amount needed: (acres/sq. ft.).
	\checkmark	Hydraulic Project Approval		✓	Is any temporary ROW needed?
	\checkmark	Local Building or Site Development Permits		\checkmark	Is relocation required?
	\checkmark	Local Clearing and Grading Permit		✓	Has ROW (property and/or property interests)
	\checkmark	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Baseline General for Construction			been acquired <u>for this project</u> prior to the NEPA start date? If yes, documentation demonstrating
	\checkmark	Shoreline Permit			compliance with 23 CFR 710.501 may
	\checkmark	State Waste Discharge Permit			be required.
	√	Water Rights Permit		✓	Is a detour required? If yes, please attach detour information.
U.S.	Coast G	uard Permitting			
a.	Does th	ne project propose any new or modify any existing brid	dges or	culverts	s crossing a waterway? 🔲 Yes 📝 No
b.	If Yes, a	attach a copy of the jurisdictional determination email	or letter	from th	ne U.S. Coast Guard.
Othe	r Federa	al Agencies - Does the project involve any federal pro	perties,	approv	als or funding from other/additional federal
agen	cies?	Yes V No If Yes, please describe.			
		Part 4 - Environmei	ntal Co	onsid	erations
		Will the project involve work in or affect any o Attach additional pages or suppl			
1. Ai	r Qualit	y - Identify any anticipated air quality issues.			
Is the	project	exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements?	Yes	√ I	No
a.	If Yes, i	dentify exemption - please refer to Appendix G in the	CE Guid	debook	for a list of exemptions.
	Exem	ption under 40 CFR 93.126- Safety- Traffic control de	vices ar	nd oper	ating assistance
b.	Is the p	roject included in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan	1? ✓	Yes	No
		date Metropolitan Transportation Plan was adopted $rac{\mathrm{Ja}}{}$			
C.	Is the p	roject located in an Air Quality Non-Attainment Area o ? ☐ Yes 🗸 No	r Mainte	enance	Area for carbon monoxide, ozone or PM 10 or
2. C	ritical ar	nd Sensitive Areas			
a.		project within a sole source aquifer? Yes ✓ ed within a sole source aquifer, is the project exempt for		A appro	val?
	If Yes, p	olease list exemption:			
	If No, d	ate of EPA approval:			
b.	Will this	project impact Species/Habitat other than ESA listed	species	s? [Yes 🗸 No Explain your answer.
		project within one mile of a Bald Eagle nesting territory			
	Yes	✓ No If Yes, the local agency must go to the United Do I Need a Permit? section.	IS Fish	& Webs	site (http://www.fws.gov/pacific/eagle/) and work
d	_	_	No	If Yes	estimate the impact in acres:
٠,		attach a copy of the proposed mitigation plan.			

 Cultural Resources/Historic Structures - Identify any historic, archaeological or cultural resources present within the present of Potential Effects. 	oject's
Does the project fit into any of the exempt types of projects listed in Appendix J of the CE Guidebook?	
✓ Yes No If Yes, note exemptions below. A-1, A-19, and A-22	
If No: Date of DAHP concurrence:	
Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable):	
Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources?	
If Yes, date of approved Section 106 MOA:	
. Floodplains and Floodways	
a. Is the project located in a 100-year floodplain? ☐ Yes ✓ No	
b. If Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway? ☐ Yes ✓ No	
c. Will the project impact a 100-year floodplain?	
. Hazardous and Problem Waste - Identify potential sources and type(s).	
a. Does the project require excavation below the existing ground surface?	
b. Will groundwater be encountered? ☐ Yes ✓ No	
c. Will any properties be acquired as part of this project? ☐ Yes ✓ No	
d. Is this site located in an undeveloped area (i.e. no buildings, parking, storage areas or agriculture)?	lo
e. Is the project located within a one-mile radius of a known Superfund Site? Yes Vo	
f. Is this project located within a ½-mile radius of a site or sites listed on any of the following Department of Ecology data \square Yes $\boxed{\checkmark}$ No If Yes, check the appropriate boxes below.	oases?
Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), State Cleanup Site (SCS), or Independent Cleanup Program (ICP)	
Underground Storage Tank (UST)	
Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST)	
Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL)	
g. Has site reconnaissance (windshield survey) been performed? Yes V No (Please identify any properties identified in the Ecology or ERS database search as an attachment name, address and property use).	not
h. Based on the information above and project specific activities, is there a potential for the project to generate, acquire o encounter contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water? ☐ Yes ✓ No	٢
Please explain: Minimal excavation is required for this project and all project activities will occur within legal ROW	7.
If you responded Yes to any of these questions above (5A - 5F or 5H), contact your Region LPE for assistance as a "Right-S HazMat Analysis Report/Memorandum most likely will be required.	Sized"

6. Noise
a. Does the project involve constructing a new roadway? Yes No
b. Is there a change in the vertical or horizontal alignment of the existing roadway? Yes No
c. Does the project increase the number of through traffic lanes on an existing roadway?
d. Is there a change in the topography?
e. Are there auxiliary lanes extending 1-½ miles or longer being constructed as part of this project? Yes V No
f. If you answered Yes to any of the preceding questions, identify and describe any potential noise receptors within the project area and subsequent impacts to those noise receptors. Please attach a copy of the noise analysis if required.
If impacts are identified, describe proposed mitigation measures.
7. 4(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers, scenic byways a. Please identify and 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts.
None
Trone
b. Please identify any properties within the project limits that used funds from the Land & Water Conservation Fund Act.
None
c. Please list any Wild and Scenic Rivers and Scenic Byways within the project limits.
None
8. Agricultural Lands -
a. Are there agricultural lands within 300 feet of the project limits? ☐ Yes ✓ No If Yes, describe impacts:
b. Are impacted lands considered to be unique and prime farmland? Yes Vo
If Yes, date of project review by Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS):
9. Rivers, Streams (continuous or intermittent) or Tidal Waters
a. Identify all waterbodies within 300 feet of the project limits or that will otherwise be impacted.
There is a stream approximly 570 feet away from the project limits with permanent idenfityed # 155182458. The SWIFD indicates the creek as only gradient accessible for Chinook and steelhead, but not presumed or documented presence.
b. Identify stream crossing structures by type.
None within the project area however approximly 570 feet to the east the culvert crossing under SW Dash Point Road is documented as a barrier and total blockage accourding to WDFW Salmon Scape. The culvert along with an artifuical waterfall (created by rocks and concrete blocks) approximaly 550 feet
down stream from the culvert is also a physical, total blockage barrier.

DOT Form 140-100 Revised 12/2019

 Tribal Lands - Identify whether the projeno list usual and accustomed area. 	ect will occur within any Tribal lands, including	reservation, trust and fee lands. Please do
none		
11. Water Quality/Stormwater		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r treatment facility be consistent with the guide al for eastern/western Washington or a local a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
If No, explain proposed water quality/or associated with the proposed project.	uantity treatment for the new and any existing	g pollution generating impervious surface
b. Amount of existing pollution generating	g impervious surface within the project limits:	22292
c. Net new pollution generating impervious	us surface to be created as a result of this pro	oject: 4605
d. Amount of proposed post-project untre	eated pollution generating impervious surface:	4248
12. Previous Environmental Commitment	ts	
Describe previous environmental com None	mitments that may affect or be affected by the	project - if any.
13. Environmental Justice - Does the proje Guidebook?	ect meet any of the <u>exemptions</u> noted in Appe	ndix L of the CE Documentation
If Yes, please note the exemption and	appropriate justification in the space below.	
(CA)		
	lations located within a 0.50-mile of the project	_
See attached Social and Community	y Impacts Decision Matrix and suppo	orting data.
	ort findings. If Yes, describe impacts and attac	
	least two information sources. Please refer to	
	iological Assessments and EFH Ev	
within the project's action area?	cur in the project's action area and/or is an Yes ✓ No Attach species listings.	ny designated critical nabitat present
Affected ESA Listed Species	Will any construction work occur within 0.25 mile of any of the following?	3. Does the project involve blasting, pile driving, concrete sawing, rock-drilling or rock-scaling activity within one mile of any of the following?
Oregon Spotted Frog proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes 🗸 No	☐ Yes 🗸 No
Yellow-billed Cuckoo suitable habitat?	☐ Yes 🗸 No	☐ Yes 🗸 No
Spotted Owl management areas, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes 🗹 No	☐ Yes 📝 No
Marbled Murrelet nest or occupied stand, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes 📝 No	☐ Yes 📝 No
Western Snowy Plover designated critical habitat?	☐ Yes 🗸 No	☐ Yes 📝 No
Is the project within 0.25 mile of marine waters? If Yes explain potential effects on Killer Whales and on Marbled Murrelet foraging areas.	☐ Yes ✓ No	☐ Yes 🗸 No

Killer Whale designated critical habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	✓ No	
Grizzly Bear suitable habitat?	Yes	√ No	Yes	✓ No	
Gray Wolf suitable habitat?	Yes	√ No	Yes	√ No	
Canada Lynx habitat?	Yes	√ No	Yes	✓ No	
Columbia White-tailed Deer suitable habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	✓ No	
Woodland Caribou habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	✓ No	
Streaked Horned Lark designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	√ No	
Taylor's Checkerspot designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	✓ No	
Mazama Pocket Gopher designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	✓ No	
Eulachon designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes	√ No	Yes	✓ No	
Rockfish proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	√ No	
A mature coniferous or mixed forest stand?	Yes	✓ No	Yes	✓ No	
4. Will the project involve any in-water work	?			Yes	√ No
5. Will any construction work occur within 30 supports or drains to waterbody supporting		or intermittent waterb	ody that either	Yes	√ No
6. Will any construction work occur within 30 permanent or intermittent waterbody?	00 feet of any wetland,	pond or lake that is co	nnected to any	Yes	√ No
7. Does the action have the potential to dire (including adjacent riparian zones)?	ctly or indirectly impact	designated critical hal	bitat for salmonids	Yes	✓ No
Will the project discharge treated or untre supports or drains into a listed-fish suppo		or utilize water from a	waterbody that	✓ Yes	No
9. Will construction occur outside the existin	g pavement? If Yes go	to 9a.		✓ Yes	□No
 Will construction activities occurring modification of vegetation or tree-cutt 	·	avement involve cleari	ng, grading, filling or	√ Yes	 □ No
10. Are there any Federally listed Threatened Yes, please attach a list of these plant spe			the project limits? If	Yes	√ No
11.Does a mature coniferous or mixed forest	t stand occur within 200	o' of the project site?		Yes	√ No
Analysis for No Effects Determination – If additional sheets if needed.	there are any Yes ans	wers to questions in Pa	art 5, additional analysi	is is requir	ed. Attach
This project will occur entirely with family residential area that lacks su water work. There is a stream appro-	itable habitat for list eximly 570 feet aw	sted species. Ther ay from the project	e will be no in-wat ct limits with perm	ter or ov	er the

This project will occur entirely within the existing wearing surface of legal ROW within an urban, single family residential area that lacks suitable habitat for listed species. There will be no in-water or over the water work. There is a stream approximly 570 feet away from the project limits with permanent idenfityed # 155182458. The SWIFD indicates the creek as only gradient accessible for Chinook and steelhead, but not presumed or documented presence. The culvert crossing under SW Dash Point Road approximly 570 feet to the east is documented as a barrier and total blockage accourding to WDFW Salmon Scape. The culvert along with an artifuical waterfall (created by rocks and concrete blocks) approximaly 550 feet down stream from the culvert is also a physical, total blockage barrier. Overall the project is approimly 1120 feet away

Analysis for RRMP ESA 4(d) determination to utilize 4(d).	on for NMFS – <u>A local agency n</u>	nust be certified by the	e Regional Road Maintenance Forum
Maintenance Category (check all that appl	y)		
1. Roadway Surface	6. Stream Crossings	11	. Emergency Slide/Washout Repair
2. Enclosed Drainage Systems	7. Gravel Shoulders	12	. Concrete
3. Cleaning Enclosed Drainage Systems	8. Street Surface Cleaning	ng 🔲 13	. Sewer Systems
4. Open Drainage Systems	9. Bridge Maintenance	14	. Water Systems
5. Watercourses and Streams	10. Snow and Ice Contro	ol 🗌 15	. Vegetation
Describe how the project fits in the RRM	P 4(d) Program:		
	Effect Determinations for E	SA and EFH	
If each of the questions in the preceding sea adequate justification can be provided to su be used for Section 7 compliance (i.e., adec separate biological assessment document is	pport a "no effect" determination uate justification cannot be prov	, then check "No Effe	ct" below. If this checklist cannot
	NMFS	USFWS	EFH Determination
✓ No Effect			No Adverse Effect
NLTAA - Date of Concurrence			Adverse Effect - Date of NMFS
LTAA - Date BO Issued			concurrence
RRMP 4(d)			Not Applicable
	Part 6 - FHWA Com	ments	

Washington State S. T. I. P.

2020 to 2023

(Project Funds to Nearest Dollar)

May 8, 2020

STIP Amend. No. 2004 AdMod

MPO/RTPO: PSRC Y Inside N Outside

County: King

Agency: Federal Way

Total Est. Cost of Project 830,000 End Termini SRMP 9.08 RW Begin Required Termini 9 8 Environmental Type CE Total Project Length 0.000 STIP ID FW-37
 Func
 Project

 CIs
 Number
 PIN


 04
 000S(528)

47th Ave SW / SW Dash Point Rd (SR 509) Compact Roundabout

Convert intersection from minor leg stop-control to Compact Roundabout.

	τ
	ĕ
	_
:	=
•	C
	ē
	`
	=
	7

	Total	000'089	680,000		5th & 6th	0	0
	Local Funds	0	0		4th	0	0
	State Funds	0	0		3rd	0	0
	State Fund Code				2nd	0	0
	Federal Funds	000'089	080,000			(
	deral Fund Code	HSIP	Project Totals		1st	000'089	000'089
	Phase Start Date Federal Fund Code	2020		Expenditure Schedule	Phase	ALL	Totals
Landing	Phase	N O		Expenditur	ш.		





SOCIAL & COMMUNITY IMPACTS DECISION MATRIX

The following decision matrix is an approach that uses a series of questions with Yes/No answers to provide direction on when additional analysis and documentation is appropriate for a proposed project. If additional documentation is necessary, consider all potential sources of impacts to protected populations in the analysis.

- 1) Are any protected populations present within the proposed limits of the project's impacts?
 - No Document findings on CE documentation form and include demographic data; findings should be confirmed by using at least two information sources. No further analysis is required.
 - (Yes) Proceed to question 2.
- 2) Does the project require permanent right-of-way acquisition?
 - No Document findings on CE documentation form and include demographic data; findings should be confirmed by using at least two information sources. No further analysis is required.
 - Yes Proceed to question 3.
- 3) Does the proposed project require any relocation of real and/or personal property?
 - No Document findings on CE documentation form and include demographic data; findings should be confirmed by using at least two information sources. No further analysis is required.
 - Yes An EJ memo is likely required. If so, the local agency must describe the project impacts and analyze their severity. Proceed to question 4.
- 4) Does the permanent right of way acquisition require more than 10 percent of any parcel?
 - No Document findings on CE documentation form and include demographic data; findings should be confirmed by using at least two information sources. No further analysis is required.
 - Yes Proceed to question 5.
- 5) Does the proposed project require displacement of more than 10 residences or businesses?
 - No An EJ memo is required. The local agency must describe and analyze the proposed project's potential impacts in the form of an EJ Memo.
 - Yes This project will require a discipline report and public outreach to make an environmental justice determination.



EJSCREEN Census 2010 Summary Report



Location: User-specified polygonal location

Ring (buffer): 0.5-miles radius

Description: 47th Ave SW/SW Dash Point Rd Compact Roundabout

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1.

ummary		Census 2010
· 'opulation		2,60
Population Density (per sq. mile)		3,49
Minority Population		71:
% Minority		27%
louseholds		1,08
lousing Units		1,14
and Area (sq. miles)		0.7
% Land Area		66%
Vater Area (sq. miles)		0.39
% Water Area		34%
opulation by Race	Number	Percen
otal	2,608	
Population Reporting One Race	2,421	93%
White	2,009	77%
Black	162	6%
American Indian	21	1%
Asian	158	6%
Pacific Islander	25	1%
Some Other Race	46	2%
Population Reporting Two or More Races	187	7%
otal Hispanic Population	187	7%
otal Non-Hispanic Population	2,421	93%
White Alone	1,896	73%
Black Alone	159	6%
American Indian Alone	19	1%
Non-Hispanic Asian Alone	156	6%
Pacific Islander Alone	24	1%
Other Race Alone	4	0%
Two or More Races Alone	164	6%
opulation by Sex	Number	Percen
Male	1,253	48%
Female	1,355	52%
opulation by Age	Number	Percen
Age 0-4	157	6%
Age 0-17	563	22%
Age 18+	2,045	78%
Age 65+	411	16%
louseholds by Tenure	Number	Percen
otal	1,083	
Owner Occupied	719	66%



EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report



Location: User-specified polygonal location

Ring (buffer): 0.5-miles radius

Description: 47th Ave SW/SW Dash Point Rd Compact Roundabout

Summary of ACS Estimates	2013 - 2017
Population	2,465
Population Density (per sq. mile)	3,244
Minority Population	921
% Minority	37%
Households	1,061
Housing Units	1,061
Housing Units Built Before 1950	64
Per Capita Income	36,136
Land Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1)	0.76
% Land Area	67%
Water Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1)	0.38
% Water Area	33%

70 114421 7 1124			33 /0
	2013 - 2017 ACS Estimates	Percent	MOE (±)
Population by Race			
Total	2,465	100%	376
Population Reporting One Race	2,396	97%	840
White	1,763	72%	292
Black	397	16%	221
American Indian	17	1%	60
Asian	199	8%	167
Pacific Islander	1	0%	12
Some Other Race	20	1%	88
Population Reporting Two or More Races	69	3%	151
Total Hispanic Population	282	11%	249
Total Non-Hispanic Population	2,183		
White Alone	1,544	63%	274
Black Alone	354	14%	222
American Indian Alone	17	1%	60
Non-Hispanic Asian Alone	199	8%	167
Pacific Islander Alone	1	0%	12
Other Race Alone	0	0%	12
Two or More Races Alone	69	3%	150
Population by Sex			
Male	1,189	48%	222
Female	1,276	52%	219
Population by Age			
Age 0-4	236	10%	110
Age 0-17	525	21%	147
Age 18+	1,940	79%	252
Age 65+	525	21%	111

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race. N/A means not available. **Source:** U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2013 - 2017.

May 11, 2020 1/3



EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report



Location: User-specified polygonal location

Ring (buffer): 0.5-miles radius

Description: 47th Ave SW/SW Dash Point Rd Compact Roundabout

	2013 - 2017 ACS Estimates	Percent	MOE (±)
Population 25+ by Educational Attainment			
Total	1,766	100%	213
Less than 9th Grade	20	1%	32
9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma	87	5%	74
High School Graduate	509	29%	134
Some College, No Degree	682	39%	161
Associate Degree	203	12%	89
Bachelor's Degree or more	468	26%	125
Population Age 5+ Years by Ability to Speak English			
Total	2,229	100%	339
Speak only English	1,914	86%	311
Non-English at Home ¹⁺²⁺³⁺⁴	315	14%	228
¹ Speak English "very well"	199	9%	153
² Speak English "well"	96	4%	96
³ Speak English "not well"	18	1%	53
⁴Speak English "not at all"	2	0%	25
3+4Speak English "less than well"	20	1%	57
²⁺³⁺⁴ Speak English "less than very well"	116	5%	105
Linguistically Isolated Households*			
Total	32	100%	40
Speak Spanish	1	3%	30
Speak Other Indo-European Languages	0	0%	12
Speak Asian-Pacific Island Languages	31	97%	34
Speak Other Languages	0	0%	12
Households by Household Income			
Household Income Base	1,061	100%	123
< \$15,000	95	9%	63
\$15,000 - \$25,000	78	7%	54
\$25,000 - \$50,000	253	24%	100
\$50,000 - \$75,000	197	19%	97
\$75,000 +	438	41%	141
Occupied Housing Units by Tenure			
Total	1,061	100%	123
Owner Occupied	756	71%	119
Renter Occupied	305	29%	84
Employed Population Age 16+ Years			
Total	1,980	100%	290
In Labor Force	1,098	55%	277
Civilian Unemployed in Labor Force	58	3%	56
Not In Labor Force	882	45%	185

Data Note: Datail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of anyrace.

N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS)

May 11, 2020 2/3

^{*}Households in which no one 14 and over speaks English "very well" or speaks English only.



EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report



Location: User-specified polygonal location

Ring (buffer): 0.5-miles radius

Description: 47th Ave SW/SW Dash Point Rd Compact Roundabout

	2013 - 2017 ACS Estimates	Percent	MOE (±)
Population by Language Spoken at Home*			
Total (persons age 5 and above)	3,144	100%	398
English	2,327	74%	411
Spanish	208	7%	223
French	0	0%	30
French Creole	N/A	N/A	N/A
Italian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Portuguese	N/A	N/A	N/A
German	62	2%	70
Yiddish	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other West Germanic	N/A	N/A	N/A
Scandinavian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Greek	N/A	N/A	N/A
Russian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Polish	N/A	N/A	N/A
Serbo-Croatian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Slavic	N/A	N/A	N/A
Armenian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Persian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Gujarathi	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hindi	N/A	N/A	N/A
Urdu	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Indic	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Indo-European	24	1%	58
Chinese	49	2%	72
Japanese	N/A	N/A	N/A
Korean	238	8%	199
Mon-Khmer, Cambodian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hmong	N/A	N/A	N/A
Thai	N/A	N/A	N/A
Laotian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Vietnamese	0	0%	17
Other Asian	36	1%	72
Tagalog	44	1%	71
Other Pacific Island	N/A	N/A	N/A
Navajo	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Native American	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hungarian	N/A	N/A	N/A
Arabic	19	1%	36
Hebrew	N/A	N/A	N/A
African	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other and non-specified	0	0%	17
Total Non-English	817	26%	572

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic popultion can be of any race. N/A means not available. **Source:** U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2013 - 2017. *Population by Language Spoken at Home is available at the census tract summary level and up.

May 11, 2020 3/3



Washington State Summary Twin Lakes Elementary School



4400 SW 320TH ST FEDERAL WAY, WA 98023-2426



253.945.4200

Enrollment

(2019-20 School Year)

365



13%

English Lo

61%


Low Income

Student Performance


How are we doing getting students to their learning goals?




English Language Arts



Math



Science



Met grade level standards on state administered tests

How engaged are our students?



87%



40%



49%

Have Regular Attendance

Have High English Language Arts Growth Have High Math Growth

About Our Teachers and Classrooms



22

45.5%

8.5

Number of Teachers Have Master's Degree or Higher Average Years Experience



15.9

Number of Students per Teacher

Finances

(2017-18 School Year)
How much money do we spend on each student?

\$12,840



Staff Salary & Benefits

\$2,384

Non-Personnel Costs

All information is for the 2018-19 school year, unless otherwise noted. To see more from the Washington State Report Card, visit WashingtonStateReportCard.OSPI.k12.wa.us/ReportCard/ViewSchoolOrDistrict/101205

If you have questions or comments, contact ReportCardRedesign@k12.wa.us



47th Ave SE & SW Dash Point Rd

